



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,432	10/25/2000		Dumitru Mihai Ionescu	NC17502	2501
30973	7590	04/15/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHEEF 8	& STONE	, L.L.P.	LIU, SHUWANG		
5956 SHER SUITE 1400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75225				2634	
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	18	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/696,432	IONESCU, DUMITRU MIHAI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shuwang Liu	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replace. The incompanies a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 January 2004.					
-	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alle		rs, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-37</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21 and 31</u> is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-30 and 32-37</u> is/are objected to solve to restriction and solve to restriction and solve the solve to restriction and solve the solve to restriction and solve the solve	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .·				

Application/Control Number: 09/696,432 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on January 26, 2004, for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/696,432 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21 and 31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 21 and 31 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 11 and 20 of patent No. 6,603,809 (see paper #12). Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Application/Control Number: 09/696,432

Art Unit: 2634

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the broader application claims would have been obvious in view of the narrow issued claims (see In re Emert, 124 F.3d 1458, 44 USPQ2d 1149).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 21-37 would be allowable if overcome the double patent rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9556.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2634

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Shuwang Liu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2634

April 13, 2004